#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

 $\alpha_{\gamma}$ 

PCT/EP2009/053907

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

Eingang (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

ATTONAL
ABILITY
Binger Str. 173
RATTON
55216 Ingelheim Am Rhein
Eingang CD Patents
Attemagne

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
14 October 2010 (14.10.2010)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P01-2363/WO

Test 19. Okt. 2010

SdT No: Yes:
SB gesetten erledige

International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907

International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2009 (01.04.2009)

Priority date (day/month/year) 02 April 2008 (02.04.2008)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Applicant

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Yolaine Cussac

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt05.pct@wipo.int

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P01-2363/WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2009 (01.04.2009)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 April 2008 (02.04.2008)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. 1	Basis of the report		
		Box No. II	Priority		
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	X	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4,	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis 3(c) and 93bis 1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis 2).				

	Date of issuance of this report 05 October 2010 (05.10.2010)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac	
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt05.pct@wipo.int	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	RCHING ALITHORITY		erak Perangan Perangan	ر او او الخدي الانجيزة الواقعة الواقعة و الواقعة المادة المادة المادة المادة المادة المادة المادة المادة الماد المادة المادة المادة المادة المادة	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
To:			PCT		
			*	* 	ī
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		9.E	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		1.1	le of mailing	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second s	heet)
Applicant's or agent's file	roference			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	······································
see form PCT/ISA/2		1	)R FURTHER e paragraph 2 be		
International application PCT/EP2009/05390			onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea 02.04.2008	<i>n</i>
	sification (IPC) or both national c	lassification and I	2C		<del></del>
INV. C07D487/04 A	61K31/519	•			* * *
Applicant BOEHRINGER ING	ELHEIM INTERNATIONAL	L GMBH	· .		
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and e Certain documents cited Certain defects in the intern Certain observations on the	on with regard to Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a) xplanations sup ational applicati	novelty, inven  (i) with regard to the state of the state	tive step and industrial applic o novelly, inventive step or in atement	*
If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cho International Bur will not be so cor	nternational preliminary exam of the international Preliminary coses an Authority other than reau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) the insidered.	y Examining Aut this one to be ti at written opinio	hority ("IPEA") ne IPEA and th ns of this Interr	except that this does not app e chosen IPEA has notifed it ational Searching Authority	oly where ne
submit to the IPE	as provided above, consider EA a written reply together, w mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 es later.	here appropriate	e, with amendπ	ents, before the expiration o	f 3 months
For further option	ns, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further detail	ls, see notes to Form PCT/IS/	A/220.			
	•		•		
Name and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:	Date of comple	tion of Aut	norized Officer	Net Palage
European	Patent Office	this opinion		Barrier and Salarier	I lla
D-80298 A Tel. +49 8	Munich 9 2399 ~ 0	PCT//SA/210		egoire, Ariane ephone No. +49 89 2399-2994	
Fax: +49 8	39 2399 - 4465	1	<u> </u>	*	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907

Box No. I Basis of the opinion	***
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
the international application in the language in which it was filed	•
a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a transpurposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	slation furnished for the
2.   This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvey or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	vious mistake authorized
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internal necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	tional application and
a. type of material:	
□ a sequence listing	
□ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material:	•
☐ on paper	e .
☐ in electronic form	•
c. time of filing/furnishing:	
☐ contained in the international application as filed.	
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	4
to the purposes of search.	
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing are has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the sub copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application appropriate, were furnished.	seprepart or additional
5. Additional comments:	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-13, 15-18, 28

No: Claims

1, 2, 14, 19-27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3-13, 15-18, 28

No: Claims

1, 2, 14, 19-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-28

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claim 27 relates to a subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provision of Rule 39.1(iv)/67.1(iv) PCT.

The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as patentable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a product, in particular substances or compositions for in a first or further medical treatment.

#### 1) Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 2004/099210 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BAERFACKER LARS [DE]; E) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18) cited in the application
- D2: WO 2004/099211 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BAERFACKER LARS [DE]; E) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18)
- D3: WO 2004/018474 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BOESS FRANK-GERHARD [DE) 4 March 2004 (2004-03-04)
- D4: WO 2004/096811 A (PFIZER PROD INC [US]; BELL ANDREW SIMON [GB]; DENINNO MICHAEL PAUL [US) 11 November 2004 (2004-11-11) cited in the application
- D5: WO 2004/026876 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BOESS FRANK-GERHARD [DE) 1 April 2004 (2004-04-01)

#### 2) Novelty (Art. 33 (1) and (2) PCT):

D1 and D2 disclose compounds (see claim 1 in both documents wherein R2 is heteroaryl optionally substituted by hydroxy which correspond to a present heterocyclyl group substituted by oxo, see item VII below) having the same activity and falling in the scope of present claim 1, 2, 14, 19-27.

D3 and D5 disclose compounds having the same activity and a closely related structure. They differ however in that no heterocyclic group is present on position 1 of the pyrazolo ring but a pentanyl or a cycloalkyl group.

D4 discloses PDE9 inhibitors overlapping those presently claimed since R3 can be

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2009/053907

heterocycloalkyl. None of the example of D4 destroys the novelty of the present application. The present compounds could be considered as a novel selection over those of D4.

#### 3) Inventive Step (Art. 33 (1) and (3) PCT):

D3 is considered as the closest prior art since it discloses compounds having the same activity and differing from those presently claimed in the definition of the group equivalent to the present H group.

The technical problem underlying the present application is the provision of further compounds active as PDE9A2 inhibitors.

The replacement of the group cycloalkyl by an heterocyclic group is considered as obvious because of the teaching of D4, which clearly discloses the equivalency of the group cycloalkyl, heterocycloalkyl, aryl and heteroaryl for this family of compounds. No unexpected advantage is disclosed so far for the claimed compounds so that the requirements of the Art. 33(3) PCT are not considered as fulfilled.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

The group "heterocyclyl" defining Hc should be or comprise a non-aromatic ring according to claim 1 but can also be substituted by an oxo group as indicated in the list defining R². This means that an aromatic ring is still possible e.g. in the case of a dihydroimidazole ring as that mentioned on page 308 second line. A tautomeric form of this structure reveals an imidazole ring (aromatic) substituted by an hydroxy group. The present definition of the compounds of formula I does thus not fulfill the requirements of Art. 6 PCT.